

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/088,606	Applicant(s) OKAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner Anthony Mackowey	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:

- (1) Anthony Mackowey.
(2) Tim MacIntyre (Reg. No. 42,824).

Status of Application: _____

- (3) _____
(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 May 2007

Time: 3:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 2 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

ANDREW W. JOHNS
 PRIMARY EXAMINER




 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative indicating suggesting an amendment to claim 2 to clarify a the claim language regarding the perspective projection conversion method thus correcting an antecedence issue. Examiner also identified minor grammatical errors in claims 2 and 15 and suggested language to correct them. It was agreed an Examiner's Amendment would be entered to make the agreed upon changes..